Prepared for submission to JCAP

Influence of ∼7 keV sterile neutrino dark matter on the process of reionization

Anton Rudakovskyi,[a,b] **Dmytro Iakubovskyi**[c,a,1]

[a]Bogolyubov Institute of Theoretical Physics, Metrologichna Str. 14-b, 03680, Kyiv, Ukraine
[b]Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Physics Department, Glushkova ave. 2, Kyiv, Ukraine
[c]Discovery Center, Niels Bohr Institute, Blegdamsvej 17, Copenhagen, Denmark

E-mail: iakubovskyi@nbi.ku.dk

Abstract. Recent reports of a weak unidentified emission line at ∼3.5 keV found in spectra of several matter-dominated objects may give a clue to resolve the long-standing problem of dark matter. One of the best physically motivated particle candidate able to produce such an extra line is sterile neutrino with the mass of ∼7 keV. Previous works show that sterile neutrino dark matter with parameters consistent with the new line measurement modestly affects structure formation compared to conventional cold dark matter scenario. In this work, we concentrate for the first time on contribution of the sterile neutrino dark matter able to produce the observed line at ∼3.5 keV, to the process of reionization. By incorporating dark matter power spectra for ∼7 keV sterile neutrinos into extended semi-analytical 'bubble' model of reionization we obtain that such sterile neutrino dark matter would produce significantly sharper reionization compared to widely used cold dark matter models, impossible to 'imitate' within the cold dark matter scenario under any reasonable choice of our model parameters, and would have a clear tendency of lowering both the redshift of reionization and the electron scattering optical depth (although the difference is still below the existing model uncertainties). Further dedicated studies of reionization (such as 21 cm measurements or studies of kinetic Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect) will thus be essential for reconstruction of particle candidate responsible the ∼3.5 keV line.

[1]Corresponding author.

arXiv:1604.01341v3 [astro-ph.CO] 4 Jun 2016

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Methods 2

3 Results and conclusions 5

4 Discussion 8

1 Introduction

The nature of missing mass of the Universe – presumably in form of gravitating *dark matter* – is largely unknown. For example, Standard Model of particle physics does not provide a viable candidate for a dark matter particle. If the dark matter consists of elementary particles, they may have non-zero initial velocities affecting the structure formation due to their 'free streaming' from potential wells, see e.g. [1]. The most commonly used type of dark matter is cold dark matter (CDM) where free streaming effects are negligible. Although there are well-motivated particle physics candidates for CDM such as weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) [2–5] or axions[1] [7–9], they by no means exhaust the complete list of dark matter particle candidates, see e.g. reviews [10–14].

For example, one of the best physically-motivated dark matter candidates is the right-handed (sterile) neutrino [15, 16], see also an extensive recent review [17]. It has been shown that the minimal extension of the Standard Model by three sterile neutrinos (dubbed νMSM) [18, 19] can be responsible for dark matter as well as for a number of another observed phenomena including neutrino oscillations and observed asymmetry between matter and anti-matter in the Universe, see [20] for a review. Formation of dark matter sterile neutrinos in early Universe primarily depends on production mechanism because they have never reached thermal equilibrium, contrary to WIMPs or Standard Model ('active') neutrinos. In the νMSM, the lightest sterile neutrinos responsible for dark matter are produced by oscillations of 'active' neutrinos in primeval plasma with a substantial amount of lepton asymmetry generated by earlier decays of two heavier sterile neutrinos [21–24]. As a result, the properties of sterile neutrino dark matter in the νMSM are determined by three parameters – the mass of dark matter particle M_s, its mixing angle θ with Standard Model neutrinos and the value of lepton asymmetry during the particle production.

The parameters M_s and θ can be reconstructed from the 2-body radiative decay line flux F_γ at energy E_γ expected from direction of dark matter haloes located at distance D_L with dark matter mass inside the instrument's field-of-view $m_{\rm DM}^{\rm fov}$, see e.g. [25]:

$$\sin^2(2\theta) = 7.8 \times 10^{-10} \left(\frac{F_\gamma}{10^{-6}\ \mathrm{ph/cm^2/s}}\right) \left(\frac{D_L}{1\ \mathrm{kpc}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{10^6\ \mathrm{M_\odot}}{m_{\rm DM}^{\rm fov}}\right) \left(\frac{1\ \mathrm{keV}}{M_s}\right)^4, \qquad (1.1)$$

$M_s = 2E_\gamma$. A possible line from decaying dark matter seen at $E_\gamma \simeq 3.55$ keV has been reported by [26–30], see also recent reviews [17, 31]. The observed line parameters are consistent with

[1] Note that the spectrum of *ultra-light* axion-like dark matter particles significantly differs from that for CDM, see [6] for a recent review.

sterile neutrino parameters $M_s \simeq 7.1$ keV and $\sin^2(2\theta) \simeq (2-20)\times10^{-11}$ with the preferred region of $\sin^2(2\theta) \simeq (4.5-6)\times10^{-11}$ [28, 30, 32]. The corresponding values of lepton asymmetry obtained under assumption that such sterile neutrino constitutes the bulk of dark matter in the Universe are then used to produce the power spectra of sterile neutrino dark matter [33–35]. The obtained dark matter power spectra and their analogues are then used to investigate various outputs of cosmological structure formation such as subhalo number counts [33, 36–38] and subhalo velocity function [36, 37, 39] in the Local Group, central mass density distributions in nearby haloes and subhaloes [36, 37, 39, 40], mass of Milky Way halo hosting observable number of satellite galaxies [35], high-z galaxy counts [33] and 3D matter power spectra [38, 39], 1D matter power spectra probed by Ly-α observations [38], halo mass functions [40], concentration-mass scaling relation for dark matter haloes [40–43], maximal values of dark matter phase-space density in dwarf spheroidal galaxies [33], shapes and spins of dark matter haloes [40], mass assembly history and infall time of the Fornax dwarf spheroidal galaxy [44], anomalous flux ratios in strong lensed systems [45], weak lensing maps of galaxy clusters [46].

In this paper, we study the influence of sterile neutrino dark matter particles on the process of *reionization*, see e.g. extensive reviews [47–52]. Generally, in models with non-zero initial velocities of dark matter particles the structure formation should be *delayed* due to dark matter free streaming, see e.g. Fig. 3 of [53], causing a lack of HI ionizing photons, later end of reionization and smaller CMB electron scattering optical depth $\tau_{\rm es}$. On the other hand, because the haloes with the smallest mass could significantly contribute to recombination process effectively reducing the number of ionizing photons, see e.g. [54–61], one may expect reionization to be completed at *earlier* times due to shortage of such smaller mass haloes in models with non-zero initial dark matter velocities. The effect has been first found in [62], see also [63–67] for study of reionization in dark matter models in form of thermal relics with the mass of keV range. In their Fig. 2, [62] showed that the reionization in thermal relic dark matter model with 10 keV mass finished earlier than in cold dark matter scenario, and that the process of reionization becomes sharper (in units of redshift) for warm dark matter compared to the CDM case. The results of these numerous studies, however, cannot be directly applied to study the process of reionization in realistic sterile neutrino dark matter models having non-thermal initial velocity distribution of dark matter particles [21, 22]. The goal of this paper is to extend the findings of [62] for the first time to the case of sterile neutrino dark matter able to reproduce the observed emission line at ∼3.5 keV, and to compare them with the up-to-date theoretical and observational uncertainties.

2 Methods

To describe the process of reionization, we extended the 'bubble model' formalism of [68] in a way close to [62] who analyzed reionization for dark matter models in form of thermal relics with masses 2 and 10 keV. We assume that the main source of ionizing photons are Pop II stars formed in galaxy-size haloes and consider mini-haloes only as additional 'sinks' of ionizing radiation.

In the original bubble model formalism [68], the mass of gas ionized by Pop II stars $m_{\rm ion}$, the mass of recombined hydrogen $m_{\rm rec}$ and the mass of baryons collapsed into galaxies $m_{\rm gal}$ are related with the simple *linear* expression

$$\zeta m_{\rm gal} = m_{\rm ion} + m_{\rm rec}, \tag{2.1}$$

where ζ is the number of ionizing photons per baryon released due to star formation during the process of halo collapse.

We consider the following parametrization for ζ similar to [62]:

$$\zeta = f_* \times N_{\gamma/b} \times f_{\rm esc}, \tag{2.2}$$

where f_*, $N_{\gamma/b}$, $f_{\rm esc}$ are the star formation efficiency, the number of ionizing photons emitted per baryon in stars, and the fraction of ionizing photons escaping from galaxies. Unlike e.g. [69–71], we assume that these parameters remain constant with redshift.

Our fiducial value $\zeta = 15$ is chosen close to the most probable value of [72] which gives $\zeta \simeq 10 - 40$ based on $N_{\gamma/b} = 3200$. However, there is a large spread in the literature among astrophysical parameters coming to ζ. For example, Ref. [68] used $f_{\rm esc} = 0.2$, $f_* = 0.05$, $N_{\gamma/b} = 3200$, which results in $\zeta = 32$. According to Sec. 2.3 of [73], for Pop II low metallicity stars with a Salpeter IMF $N_{\gamma/b} = 3\,000 - 10\,000$ [74], which gives $\zeta = 30 - 100$ for $f_{\rm esc} f_* = 0.01$. Ref. [75] proposed the fiducial value $\zeta = 25$ showing illustrative values for its constituents: $N_{\gamma/b} = 4\,648(17\,553)$ for a Salpeter (top-heavy) IMF [76], $f_* = 0.01 - 0.1$ [77] and $f_{\rm esc} = 0.05 - 0.5$ [78]. Ref. [79] found $f_{\rm esc} = 0.07 - 0.09$ for galaxies with $z > 3.06$. Ref. [80] constrained $f_{\rm esc} < 0.02$ for their averaged sample of galaxies at $z \sim 3.3$. Ref. [81] observed a low-mass star-forming galaxy J0925+1403 at $z = 0.301$ having $f_{\rm esc} = 0.078 \pm 0.011$. Ref. [82] found $f_{\rm esc} = 0.21 \pm 0.05$ for a starburst galaxy J0921+4509 at $z = 0.23499$. Ref. [83] found the significant increase of $f_{\rm esc}$ (up to 20% and above) by studying simulated feedback from stellar binary systems. To reasonably account for all these uncertainties, we used $\zeta = 5$ and $\zeta = 45$ as our extreme values.

To collapse, a 'bubble' region is assumed to contain mass in stars enough to ionize *all* of its hydrogen atoms, so that the collapsed fraction $f_{\rm coll}$ should not be smaller than the ratio of $m_{\rm gal}/(m_{\rm ion} + m_{\rm rec})$:

$$\zeta f_{\rm coll} \geq 1 + \xi f_{\rm rec}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $f_{\rm rec} = m_{\rm rec}/(\xi\ m_{\rm ion})$, ξ is the average number of recombinations per atom in collapsed mini-haloes during the whole epoch of reionization.

We determine $f_{\rm coll}$ and $f_{\rm rec}$ as functions of halo mass m, redshift z and mass overdensity δ_x by using the extended Press-Schechter formalism [84–87]:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\rm coll} &= \mathcal{E}\left(m, \delta_x | m_{\rm min}, \delta_c/D(z)\right), \\ f_{\rm rec} &= \mathcal{E}\left(m, \delta_x | m_{\rm J}, \delta_c/D(z)\right) - \mathcal{E}\left(m, \delta_x | m_{\rm min}, \delta_c/D(z)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(m, \delta_x | m_i, \delta_c/D(z)) = {\rm erfc}\left(\frac{\delta_c/D(z) - \delta_x}{\sqrt{2\left(\sigma^2(m_i) - \sigma^2(m)\right)}}\right), \tag{2.5}$$

$\delta_c \approx 1.676$ for a flat ΛCDM model[2] [89], $D(z)$ is the perturbation growth function [90],

$$D(z) = D_1(z)/D_1(0), \quad D_1(z) = \frac{5\Omega_0}{2} g(z) \int_z^\infty dz' \frac{1+z'}{g(z')^3}, \quad g^2(z) = \Omega_0 (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda \tag{2.6}$$

[2]Throughout this paper we used the latest best-fit cosmological parameters of *Planck* satellite [88]: $\Omega_0 = 0.307$, $h = 0.678$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.693$, $\Omega_b = 0.0483$, $\sigma_8 = 0.823$ and $n_s = 0.961$.

(see also [91] for an exact analytic expression for D_1), $\sigma^2(m)$ is expressed through power spectrum $P(k)$[3] using sharp k-space filter similar to [35, 38, 41, 93–95]:

$$\sigma^2(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int\limits_0^{k_s} k^2 P(k) dk,\ k_s = a\left(\frac{4\pi\bar{\rho}}{3m}\right)^{1/3}, \tag{2.7}$$

$a = 2.7$ [35], $\bar{\rho} = \Omega_0\rho_{crit}$ is the present-day average mass density in the Universe.

The minimal mass of collapsing haloes

$$m_{\rm min} = 3.59\times10^7\ h^{-1}\ {\rm M}_\odot \left(\frac{0.6}{\mu}\right)^{3/2}\left(\frac{10}{1+z}\right)^{3/2} \tag{2.8}$$

is taken from an assumption [96] that the clouds will not fragment into new stars until their virial temperature is higher than $T_{vir} > 10^4$ K, so the haloes can be cooled by atomic hydrogen, according to e.g. Fig. 12 and Eq. (26) of [47]. Here we use mean molecular weight $\mu = 0.6$ as the average of $\mu = 0.59$ for a fully ionized primordial gas and $\mu = 0.61$ for a gas with ionized hydrogen but only singly ionized helium, see Sec. 3.3 of [97].

The minimal mass of mini-haloes responsible for recombinations (assumed to take place with the average number ξ per atom) is the Jeans mass,

$$m_{\rm J} = 3.96\times10^3\ h^{-1}\ {\rm M}_\odot \left(\frac{0.307}{\Omega_0}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{0.0222}{\Omega_b h^2}\right)^{3/5}\left(\frac{1+z}{10}\right)^{3/2} \tag{2.9}$$

see Eq. (41) of [47]. Our fiducial value of $\xi = 5$ and bounding values $\xi = 1$ and $\xi = 9$ were taken consistent with simulations of [56, 57][4], see also Fig. 1 of [98] as an illustration of apparent uncertainty.

By solving numerically Eq. (2.1) using an approximation Eq. (2.4) we obtained $\delta_x(z, \sigma^2(m))$. Consider then a linear barrier approximation $\delta_x \approx B(m) \equiv B_0 + B_1\sigma^2(m)$ where B_0 and B_1 are calculated at the infinite masses (so that $\sigma^2 = 0$). In this case, an analytic expression for the mass function is [99]:

$$m\frac{dn}{dm} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\frac{\bar{\rho}}{m}\left|\frac{d\ \log\sigma}{d\ \log m}\right|\frac{B_0}{\sigma(m)}\exp\left[-\frac{B^2(m)}{2\sigma^2(m)}\right]. \tag{2.10}$$

The volume filling fraction $Q_{\rm II}(z)$ is then calculated:

$$Q_{\rm II}(z) = \int \frac{m}{\bar{\rho}}\frac{dn}{dm}dm. \tag{2.11}$$

Motivated by recent study of [100] we define the length of reionization $\Delta z_{\rm rei}$ as difference between the redshifts with volume fractions 0.9 and 0.1:

$$\Delta z_{\rm rei} = z\left(Q_{\rm II} = 0.1\right) - z\left(Q_{\rm II} = 0.9\right). \tag{2.12}$$

We also assume that the reionization has been completed at $z_{\rm rei} = z\left(Q_{\rm II} = 0.99\right)$.

[3]Here, $P(k)$ encodes the information about properties of dark matter particles, e.g. parameters M_s, $\sin^2(2\theta)$ and the value of lepton asymmetry, see [22, 33, 34, 92] for detailed calculations used in our paper.

[4]Note that our definition of ξ is different from that of [57]: $\xi = \xi_{\rm IIiev} - 1$.

To calculate the CMB electron scattering optical depth $\tau_{\rm es}(z)$ at redshift z, we used Eq. (9) of [67]

$$\tau_{\rm es}(z) = c\bar{n}_{\rm H}\sigma_{\rm T}\int_0^z f_e(z')Q_{\rm II}(z')\frac{(1+z')^2}{H(z')}dz', \tag{2.13}$$

where c is the speed of light, $\bar{n}_{\rm H}$ is the mean comoving number density of hydrogen atoms, $\sigma_{\rm T}$ is the Thomson cross section, $f_e(z)$ is the number of free electrons per hydrogen atom calculated under assumption of [101], and $H(z)$ is the Hubble parameter.

3 Results and conclusions

We analyze the process of reionization in several dark matter models, including cold dark matter and several sterile neutrino dark matter models depicted in Fig. 4 of [35] calculated using approach of [22, 92], as well as sterile neutrino dark models from Fig. 2 of [36] calculated using another approach [33, 34].

The models of [35] are parametrized by the value of lepton asymmetry

$$L_6 \equiv 10^6\ \frac{n_{\nu_e} - n_{\bar{\nu}_e}}{s}, \tag{3.1}$$

where n_{ν_e} and $n_{\bar{\nu}_e}$ are the number densities of electron neutrinos and antineutrinos, s is the total entropy density. For a sterile neutrino dark matter with the mass of 7 keV, the value of L_6 can be translated to sterile neutrino mixing angle (Eq. 1.1), according to Fig. 1 of [35]. For example, the region for $\sin^2(2\theta) \simeq (4.5-6)\times10^{-11}$ preferred by the ∼3.5 keV line measurements [28, 30, 32] corresponds to $L_6 \simeq 9-10$. As a result, we consider the model with $L_6 = 10$ as our fiducial model, in addition to the model s228899 of [36] which corresponds to $\sin^2(2\theta) = 2.8899\times10^{-11}$. The values of $L_6 \gtrsim 10$ would produce smaller mixing angles and therefore cannot explain the observed ∼3.5 keV line but are not excluded by existing measurements, so we consider them for illustrative purposes, as well as the model s208 of [36] which corresponds to $\sin^2(2\theta) = 0.8\times10^{-11}$.

We summarize the obtained results in Table 1 where we mark in bold our fiducial models that match the *existing* observational constraints ($z_{\rm rei} \gtrsim 5.6$, $\tau_{\rm es} = 0.046-0.103$), see also detailed discussion in [102, 103]:

- Lyman-α forest transmission [104] requires $Q_{\rm II} \simeq 0.96-0.99$ at $z = 6.2$;
- dark Lyman-α pixels [105] suggests 1σ upper bounds: $Q_{\rm II} > 0.89$ at $z = 5.9$, $Q_{\rm II} > 0.91$ at $z = 5.5$;
- the presence of Gunn-Peterson damping wings in high-z quasar spectra, see e.g. [106, 107] (see however [108]) suggests *upper* bound $Q_{\rm II} \lesssim 0.97$ at $z \approx 6$;
- the absence of Gunn-Peterson damping wings in high-z GRB spectra implies 2σ bound $Q_{\rm II} \geq 0.89$ at $z = 5.913$ [109];
- the redshift decline of the Lyman-α emitter luminosity function suggests $Q_{\rm II} \sim 0.58-0.88$ at $z = 6.6$ and $Q_{\rm II} \sim 0.46-0.88$ at $z = 7.0$ [110], and $Q_{\rm II} \gtrsim 0.5-0.6$ (with the strongest model-dependent constraint $Q_{\rm II} \gtrsim 0.8\pm0.2$) at $z = 6.6$ [111];



Figure 1. *Left*: dependence of the HII volume filling fraction $Q_{\rm II}$ on redshift z for our fiducial dark matter models, see Table 1. L10 indicates the value of electron lepton asymmetry $L_6 = 10$ for models of [35] while s228899 indicates the values of $\sin^2(2\theta) = 2.8899 \times 10^{-11}$ for models of [36]. Here one may see that in L10 model the reionization is to be completed at earlier times compared to CDM scenario; despite the decrease of halo number density in L10 model should *delay* the reionization, this is overcompensated by the sufficient decrease in recombinations, see the back dashed line for the same CDM model *without* recombinations. *Right*: The same for dependence of electron scattering optical depth $\tau_{\rm es}$ on redshift z, plotted against the latest measurements by *Planck* satellite [88, 112]. Although the models CDM-15-5 and L10-15-5 depicted here become offset from 1σ range of $\tau_{\rm es}$ obtained by [112], Table 1 shows that this difference can be adjusted with a slight change of ionization efficiency ζ, much smaller than allowed by existing observations.

- electron scattering optical depth $\tau_{\rm es}$ inferred from CMB measurements. Throughout this paper, we used the latest values $\tau_{\rm es} = 0.058 \pm 0.012$ recently reported by *Planck* collaboration [112] for their combined analysis of *Planck* E-mode polarization correlations at low-l (`lollipop`) and *Planck* temperature-temperature (TT) correlations. However, we should also be aware of the recent estimate $\tau_{\rm es} = 0.055 \pm 0.009$ (with 2σ upper bound of 0.073) based on `SimBal` likelihood estimate from cross-correlating E-mode polarization maps at 100 and 143 GHz [113], the previous release of *Planck* data resulting in $\tau_{\rm es} = 0.066 \pm 0.016$ [88], and of previous experiment *WMAP* which gives $\tau_{\rm es} = 0.089 \pm 0.014$ [114].

Fig. 1 illustrates evolution histories for $Q_{\rm II}$ and $\tau_{\rm es}$ for our fiducial models. Interestingly, in our sterile neutrino dark matter models L8-15-5 and L10-15-5 reionization completed at earlier times compared to the corresponding cold dark matter model CDM-15-5; despite the decrease of halo number density in sterile neutrino dark matter models should delay the reionization compared to CDM scenario, this is overcompensated by the corresponding shortage of recombinations (see the model CDM-15-0 for details). We should stress, however, that the fact that in the models L8-15-5 and L10-15-5 reionization ends close to that in the model CDM-15-5 is a pure numerical coincidence between smaller halo suppression and the fiducial values of model parameters ζ and ξ. For example, smaller values of ξ adopted in a subsequent paper [118] leads to qualitatively different behaviour of z_{rei}: namely, in sterile neutrino dark matter models reionization ends later than in CDM. We discuss this in more details at the end of Sec. 4.

The existing uncertainty in halo ionization efficiency ζ has the largest impact on reionization parameters $z_{\rm rei}$ (variations up to 7.6) and $\tau_{\rm es}$ (variations up to 0.056), although these

Model	ζ	ξ	$z_{\rm rei}$	$\Delta z_{\rm rei}$	$\tau_{\rm es}$	Comments
Cold dark matter (CDM) model:						
CDM-15-5	**15**	**5**	**6.7**	**7.4**	**0.084**	**Fiducial model for *Planck15***
CDM-11-5	11	5	5.6	8.1	0.073	Model with smaller ζ to reconcile with [112]
CDM-5-5	5	5	2.8	11.3	0.063	Lower ζ, excluded by too small $z_{\rm rei}$
CDM-45-5	45	5	10.4	5.9	0.119	Upper ζ, in tension with [88, 106, 107, 112]
CDM-15-0	15	0	9.0	7.5	0.109	Fiducial model for *Planck15* with $\xi = 0$
CDM-15-9	15	9	5.4	7.8	0.072	Upper ξ, excluded by too small $z_{\rm rei}$
CDM-15-1	15	1	8.5	7.5	0.103	Lower ξ, in tension with [88, 106, 107, 112]
CDM-45-9	45	9	9.4	5.9	0.107	Upper ζ and ξ, in tension with [88, 106, 107, 112]
CDM-5-1	5	1	4.9	10.5	0.078	Lower ζ and ξ, excluded by too small $z_{\rm rei}$
Sterile neutrino dark matter models from [35]:						
L8-15-5	15	5	7.5	5.8	0.084	
L10-15-5	**15**	**5**	**6.9**	**5.2**	**0.074**	**Fiducial model for *Planck15***
L10-11-5	11	5	6.3	5.6	0.070	Model with smaller ζ to reconcile with [112]
L16-15-5	15	5	6.2	4.7	0.064	
L20-15-5	15	5	6.1	4.7	0.063	
L50-15-5	15	5	5.9	4.6	0.060	
L120-15-5	15	5	5.8	4.5	0.060	
L700-15-5	15	5	5.8	4.5	0.059	
Sterile neutrino dark matter models from [36]:						
s220-15-5	15	5	7.0	5.4	0.076	
s228899-15-5	**15**	**5**	**6.3**	**4.8**	**0.066**	**Fiducial model for *Planck15***
s228899-11-5	11	5	5.8	5.2	0.062	Model with smaller ζ to reconcile with [112]
s208-15-5	15	5	5.8	4.4	0.059	

Table 1. The values of $z_{\rm rei} = z\,(Q_{\rm II=0.99})$, $\Delta z_{\rm rei}$ (Eq. (2.12)) and $\tau_{\rm es}$ (Eq. (2.13)) for reionization models considered in this paper. For models starting with L, the first number denotes the value of electron lepton asymmetry L_6 according to Eq. 3.1; for models staring with s2, the first number denotes sterile neutrino mixing angle $\sin^2(2\theta)$ in units of 10^{-11} according to Eq. 1.1. Our model parameters ζ and ξ denote dimensionless ionization efficiency (the number of UV ionizing photons produced per baryon in haloes released in the process of halo collapse) and minihalo recombination efficiency (the number of recombinations per atom in collapsed mini-haloes), see Sec. 2. The models CDM-5-5 and CDM-5-1 are excluded having reionization finished too late; on the other hand, the models CDM-45-5, CDM-15-1 and CDM-45-9 are in tension with *Planck* measurements of $\tau_{\rm es}$ [88, 112] and have reionization too early contrary to *upper* bounds on $Q_{\rm II}$ obtained by [106, 107]. In addition to CDM models, we show the behavior of sterile neutrino dark matter models L10-15-5 of [35] and s228899-15-5 of [36] as our fiducial models able to reproduce the observed emission line at $\sim$3.5 keV, see [28, 30, 32] for details. Other sterile neutrino dark matter models are presented for illustrative purposes. The obtained change in $z_{\rm rei}$ (up to 0.4) and $\tau_{\rm es}$ (up to 0.018) between these models and the cold dark matter model CDM-15-5 is below the existing uncertainties on reionization efficiency ζ and the possible QSO contribution [115] but is comparable with e.g. possible contribution of Pop III stars [116] and Lyman-limit systems [117]. More important is the systematic decrease in $\Delta z_{\rm rei}$ (up to 2.6). In all models, matter power spectra were calculated by using the latest best-fit cosmological parameters obtained by *Planck* satellite, see [88].

variations are well-correlated. The impact of this uncertainty is much above the expected influence of Pop III stars [116] and of Lyman-limit systems [117], and is comparable with the maximal estimated influence of high-z quasars [115]. The influence on the length of reioniza-

tion $\Delta z_{\rm rei}$ is smaller (variations up to 5.4) and is also strongly correlated with the changes of $z_{\rm rei}$ and $\tau_{\rm es}$.

The influence of the uncertainty in minihalo recombination efficiency ξ is the largest in CDM models inducing minor difference of $z_{\rm rei}$ (variations up to 3.1) and $\tau_{\rm es}$ (variations up to 0.031) with much smaller influence on $\Delta z_{\rm rei}$ (variations up to 0.4). For sterile neutrino dark matter models, the influence is by a factor of $\gtrsim 20$ smaller due to strong suppression of mini-haloes and thus can be neglected.

Initial velocities of dark matter particles imposed by sterile neutrino dark matter models with parameters consistent with observation of the new line at ∼3.5 keV (our fiducial models in Table 1) introduce a modest influence on $z_{\rm rei}$ (variations up to 0.4) and $\tau_{\rm es}$ (variations up to 0.018), close to the expected influence of Pop III stars [116] and of Lyman-limit systems [117]. Due to much larger influence of ζ on $z_{\rm rei}$ and $\tau_{\rm es}$, it is not possible to prefer or rule out the selected dark matter model based on existing observational constrains on these parameters. The influence of sterile neutrino dark matter on $\Delta z_{\rm rei}$, however, is much more profound (variations by 1.6-2.6). Moreover, it is impossible to 'imitate' the effect of sterile neutrino dark matter on the entire set of parameters $\{z_{\rm rei}, \Delta z_{\rm rei}, \tau_{\rm es}\}$ with *any* reasonable value of ζ and ξ for CDM models, as Table 1 demonstrates.

4 Discussion

Throughout this work we assume that the dominant sources of ionizing photons are Pop II stars forming at galaxies. Although such an assumption is often considered to be conventional, see e.g. [47], it by no means excludes possible significant contribution of other ionizing sources. For example, we do not take into account the ionizing UV emission from Pop III stars, because their contribution is very uncertain and is thought to be subdominant [119–121], see however [78, 122]. Recent detailed simulations of [116] show that UV radiation from Pop III stars advance reionization by $\Delta z \leq 1.3$ and increase $\tau_{\rm es}$ by $\Delta\tau_{\rm es} \leq 0.019$, comparable with other uncertainties. Similarly, we do not take into account Lyman-limit systems; Ref. [117] found that they delay reionization by $\Delta z = 0.6 - 0.8$ with small (by ~ 0.002) decrease of $\tau_{\rm es}$. Also, we do not take into account UV radiation from active galactic nuclei (AGN) because of their suppressed contribution at high redshifts shown in e.g. [72]; although [115] showed that it is even possible to reproduce reionization using AGN as only sources for reionization using new measurement of high-z AGN abundance [123], but this hypothesis is in apparent tension with recent observations of metal absorbers at $z \sim 6$ [124].

The presence of X-ray ionizing radiation may further complicate the description of reionization, because X-rays can easily escape UV bubbles due to their much larger mean free path compared to UV photons. Notably, they may originate in quantities required for reionization not only from conventional astrophysical sources such as X-ray binaries and accreting massive black holes, see e.g. [125], but also from decays of dark matter particles such as sterile neutrinos, see e.g. [126–131]. In addition, dark matter decays could broaden the CMB last scattering surface and could have an imprint on CMB anisotropies [132–134]. Another effect of X-rays (e.g. from decaying dark matter) catalyzing the formation of molecular hydrogen that may speed up gas cooling and star formation suggested by [135] is later found negligible [136]. It is important to note, however, that the obtained upper limits on dark matter radiative decay lifetime are about two orders of magnitude weaker than the expected decay lifetime of sterile neutrino dark matter responsible for ∼3.5 keV line, see e.g. Fig. 10 of [131]. This validates neglecting the effects of dark matter decays throughout this paper.

To further *probe* the influence of sterile neutrino dark matter on reionization, one needs more detailed observations sensitive to $\Delta z_{\rm rei}$, in addition to existing probes of $z_{\rm rei}$ and $\tau_{\rm es}$. Future measurements of 21-cm line fluctuations (see e.g. [137–140] and references therein) and more detailed study of kinetic Sunyaev-Zeldovich (kSZ) effect (see e.g. Ref. [141–143] and references therein) are the straightforward candidates for such an analysis. For example, the value of $\Delta z_{\rm rei}$ obtained in our preferred sterile neutrino dark matter models tends to be in better consistency with recent kSZ constraints [112, 144], compared with the standard ΛCDM scenario.

Our work is based on the extension of the initial formalism of [68]. Recently, several physically motivated semi-analytical models have been proposed, based on excursion set peaks formalism [145], percolation theory [100, 146], the specially adapted GALFORM [147, 148] model of galaxy formation [35, 149, 150], and adjusting better consistency with up-to-date numerical simulations [151]. Because these extensions remain physically well-justified and computationally inexpensive compared to detailed simulations (see e.g. [40, 66, 152] for recent simulations with non-trivial dark matter power spectra), their usage is well-motivated in a future extension of this work.

For example, it is informative to compare our results with the recent findings of [118] who used the GALFORM semi-analytic model of galaxy formation to model reionization for CDM and several sterile neutrino dark matter models (including L8 and L700 models also analyzed in our Table 1). First of all, we must emphasize that the authors of [118] have confirmed our major *qualitative* result – much sharper reionization in sterile neutrino dark matter models compared to ΛCDM. We also confirm the finding of [118] that the median halo mass responsible for reionization is much larger for sterile neutrino dark matter models than that for ΛCDM (expected due to decrease of substantial smaller-mass haloes in sterile neutrino dark matter models). For example, the median halo mass where the recombination is completed at $z = 10$ for the model CDM-15-5 is $0.8 \times 10^9\ h^{-1}\ M_\odot$, while for the model L10-15-5 it is $5.5 \times 10^9\ h^{-1}\ M_\odot$ and for the model s228899-15-5 it is $1.2 \times 10^{10}\ h^{-1}\ M_\odot$, in agreement with Fig. 5 of [118]. The fact that in our models L8-15-5 and L10-15-5 reionization finishes faster than in the CDM-15-5 model, contrary to findings of [118], see e.g. their footnote 2, is due to different description of recombination process. For example, the authors of [118] assume the number of recombinations per baryon to be the same for all halo masses, while in our approach it is the same only for minihaloes – where the effect of recombinations should be the most pronounced because of the largest baryon density – which experience the largest dearth due to non-zero initial velocities of sterile neutrino dark matter. Assuming instead that the number of recombinations per baryon is the same for all haloes (which is, in terms of our model, a simple redefinition of ζ with taking ξ to 0), we are able to reproduce the result of [118] that in sterile neutrino dark matter models the reionization ends *later* than in ΛCDM. However, there is another notable difference between our findings and the results of [118]. Namely, in their models (involving either ΛCDM or sterile neutrino dark matter) the reionization evolves systematically faster (with $\Delta z_{\rm rei}$ smaller by a factor of $\sim 2-3$) compared to our results, as well as the results of several other groups [62, 68, 72, 117, 125, 153] (see however [154, 155]). Successful resolution of this difference in $\Delta z_{\rm rei}$ (which appears to be sensitive to the very details of reionization astrophysics) will be essential to quantify how much the recently obtained kSZ constraints [112, 144] are better consistent with sterile neutrino dark matter than with conventional ΛCDM scenario.

Acknowledgments

We thank K. Abazajian and M. Lovell for comments and for sharing power spectra for a set of sterile neutrino dark matter models used in this work. We also thank S. Bose, S. Cole, A. Ferrara, S. H. Hansen, P. Naselsky, O. Ruchayskiy, S. Sarkar and the anonymous Referees for comments. The work of A. R. was partially supported from the Swiss National Science Foundation grant SCOPE IZ7370-152581, the State Fund for Fundamental Research of Ukraine grant F64/45-2016, and the Program of Cosmic Research of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine. The work of D. I. was supported by a research grant from VILLUM FONDEN.

References

[1] A. S. Szalay and G. Marx, *Neutrino rest mass from cosmology*, A&A **49** (June, 1976) 437–441.

[2] B. W. Lee and S. Weinberg, *Cosmological lower bound on heavy-neutrino masses*, *Physical Review Letters* **39** (July, 1977) 165–168.

[3] H. Goldberg, *Constraint on the photino mass from cosmology*, *Physical Review Letters* **50** (May, 1983) 1419–1422.

[4] J. Ellis, J. S. Hagelin, D. V. Nanopoulos, K. Olive and M. Srednicki, *Supersymmetric relics from the big bang*, *Nuclear Physics B* **238** (June, 1984) 453–476.

[5] G. Servant and T. M. P. Tait, *Is the lightest Kaluza-Klein particle a viable dark matter candidate?*, *Nuclear Physics B* **650** (Feb., 2003) 391–419, [hep-ph/0206071].

[6] D. J. E. Marsh, *Axion Cosmology*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Oct., 2015) , [1510.07633].

[7] J. Preskill, M. B. Wise and F. Wilczek, *Cosmology of the invisible axion*, *Physics Letters B* **120** (Jan., 1983) 127–132.

[8] L. F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, *A cosmological bound on the invisible axion*, *Physics Letters B* **120** (Jan., 1983) 133–136.

[9] M. Dine and W. Fischler, *The not-so-harmless axion*, *Physics Letters B* **120** (Jan., 1983) 137–141.

[10] G. Bertone, D. Hooper and J. Silk, *Particle dark matter: evidence, candidates and constraints*, Phys. Rep. **405** (Jan., 2005) 279–390, [hep-ph/0404175].

[11] L. Bergström, *Dark matter candidates*, *New Journal of Physics* **11** (Oct., 2009) 105006–+, [0903.4849].

[12] J. L. Feng, *Dark Matter Candidates from Particle Physics and Methods of Detection*, ARA&A **48** (Sept., 2010) 495–545, [1003.0904].

[13] M. Drees and G. Gerbier, *Mini–Review of Dark Matter: 2012*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Apr., 2012) , [1204.2373].

[14] G. Bertone and D. Hooper, *A History of Dark Matter*, *ArXiv e-prints* (May, 2016) , [1605.04909].

[15] S. Dodelson and L. M. Widrow, *Sterile neutrinos as dark matter*, *Physical Review Letters* **72** (Jan., 1994) 17–20, [hep-ph/9303287].

[16] X. Shi and G. M. Fuller, *New Dark Matter Candidate: Nonthermal Sterile Neutrinos*, *Physical Review Letters* **82** (Apr., 1999) 2832–2835, [astro-ph/9810076].

[17] R. Adhikari, M. Agostini, N. A. Ky, T. Araki, M. Archidiacono, M. Bahr et al., *A White Paper on keV Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Feb., 2016) , [1602.04816].

[18] T. Asaka, S. Blanchet and M. Shaposhnikov, *The νMSM, dark matter and neutrino masses [rapid communication]*, *Physics Letters B* **631** (Dec., 2005) 151–156, [hep-ph/0503065].

[19] T. Asaka and M. Shaposhnikov, *The @nMSM, dark matter and baryon asymmetry of the universe [rapid communication]*, *Physics Letters B* **620** (July, 2005) 17–26, [hep-ph/0505013].

[20] A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy and M. Shaposhnikov, *The Role of Sterile Neutrinos in Cosmology and Astrophysics*, *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science* **59** (Nov., 2009) 191–214, [0901.0011].

[21] M. Shaposhnikov, *The νMSM, leptonic asymmetries, and properties of singlet fermions*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **8** (Aug., 2008) 008, [0804.4542].

[22] M. Laine and M. Shaposhnikov, *Sterile neutrino dark matter as a consequence of νMSM-induced lepton asymmetry*, JCAP **6** (June, 2008) 031, [0804.4543].

[23] L. Canetti, M. Drewes and M. Shaposhnikov, *Sterile Neutrinos as the Origin of Dark and Baryonic Matter*, *Physical Review Letters* **110** (Feb., 2013) 061801, [1204.3902].

[24] L. Canetti, M. Drewes, T. Frossard and M. Shaposhnikov, *Dark matter, baryogenesis and neutrino oscillations from right-handed neutrinos*, Phys. Rev. D **87** (May, 2013) 093006, [1208.4607].

[25] A. Boyarsky, D. Iakubovskyi, O. Ruchayskiy and V. Savchenko, *Constraints on decaying dark matter from XMM-Newton observations of M31*, MNRAS **387** (July, 2008) 1361–1373, [0709.2301].

[26] E. Bulbul, M. Markevitch, A. Foster, R. K. Smith, M. Loewenstein and S. W. Randall, *Detection of an Unidentified Emission Line in the Stacked X-Ray Spectrum of Galaxy Clusters*, ApJ **789** (July, 2014) 13, [1402.2301].

[27] A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy, D. Iakubovskyi and J. Franse, *Unidentified Line in X-Ray Spectra of the Andromeda Galaxy and Perseus Galaxy Cluster*, *Physical Review Letters* **113** (Dec., 2014) 251301, [1402.4119].

[28] A. Boyarsky, J. Franse, D. Iakubovskyi and O. Ruchayskiy, *Checking the Dark Matter Origin of a 3.53 keV Line with the Milky Way Center*, *Physical Review Letters* **115** (Oct., 2015) 161301, [1408.2503].

[29] O. Urban, N. Werner, S. W. Allen, A. Simionescu, J. S. Kaastra and L. E. Strigari, *A Suzaku search for dark matter emission lines in the X-ray brightest galaxy clusters*, MNRAS **451** (Aug., 2015) 2447–2461, [1411.0050].

[30] D. Iakubovskyi, E. Bulbul, A. R. Foster, D. Savchenko and V. Sadova, *Testing the origin of ˜3.55 keV line in individual galaxy clusters observed with XMM-Newton*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Aug., 2015) , [1508.05186].

[31] D. Iakubovskyi, *Observation of the new line at ˜3.55 keV in X-ray spectra of galaxies and galaxy clusters*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Oct., 2015) , [1510.00358].

[32] O. Ruchayskiy, A. Boyarsky, D. Iakubovskyi, E. Bulbul, D. Eckert, J. Franse et al., *Searching for decaying dark matter in deep XMM-Newton observation of the Draco dwarf spheroidal*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Dec., 2015) , [1512.07217].

[33] K. N. Abazajian, *Resonantly Produced 7 keV Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter Models and the Properties of Milky Way Satellites*, *Physical Review Letters* **112** (Apr., 2014) 161303, [1403.0954].

[34] T. Venumadhav, F.-Y. Cyr-Racine, K. N. Abazajian and C. M. Hirata, *Sterile neutrino dark matter: A tale of weak interactions in the strong coupling epoch*, *ArXiv e-prints* (July, 2015) , [1507.06655].

[35] M. R. Lovell, S. Bose, A. Boyarsky, S. Cole, C. S. Frenk, V. Gonzalez-Perez et al., *Satellite galaxies in semi-analytic models of galaxy formation with sterile neutrino dark matter*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Nov., 2015) , [1511.04078].

[36] S. Horiuchi, B. Bozek, K. N. Abazajian, M. Boylan-Kolchin, J. S. Bullock, S. Garrison-Kimmel et al., *Properties of resonantly produced sterile neutrino dark matter subhaloes*, MNRAS **456** (Mar., 2016) 4346–4353, [1512.04548].

[37] S. Bose, W. A. Hellwing, C. S. Frenk, A. Jenkins, M. R. Lovell, J. C. Helly et al., *Substructure and galaxy formation in the Copernicus Complexio warm dark matter simulations*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Apr., 2016) , [1604.07409].

[38] A. Schneider, *Astrophysical constraints on resonantly produced sterile neutrino dark matter*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan., 2016) , [1601.07553].

[39] B. Bozek, M. Boylan-Kolchin, S. Horiuchi, S. Garrison-Kimmel, K. Abazajian and J. S. Bullock, *Resonant Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter in the Local and High-z Universe*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Dec., 2015) , [1512.04544].

[40] S. Bose, W. A. Hellwing, C. S. Frenk, A. Jenkins, M. R. Lovell, J. C. Helly et al., *The Copernicus Complexio: statistical properties of warm dark matter haloes*, MNRAS **455** (Jan., 2016) 318–333, [1507.01998].

[41] A. Schneider, *Structure formation with suppressed small-scale perturbations*, MNRAS **451** (Aug., 2015) 3117–3130, [1412.2133].

[42] R. Li, C. S. Frenk, S. Cole, L. Gao, S. Bose and W. A. Hellwing, *Constraints on the identity of the dark matter from strong gravitational lenses*, MNRAS (Apr., 2016) , [1512.06507].

[43] A. D. Ludlow, S. Bose, R. E. Angulo, L. Wang, W. A. Hellwing, J. F. Navarro et al., *The Mass-Concentration-Redshift Relation of Cold and Warm Dark Matter Halos*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan., 2016) , [1601.02624].

[44] M.-Y. Wang, L. E. Strigari, M. R. Lovell, C. S. Frenk and A. R. Zentner, *Mass assembly history and infall time of the Fornax dwarf spheroidal galaxy*, MNRAS **457** (Apr., 2016) 4248–4261, [1509.04308].

[45] A. Kamada, K. T. Inoue and T. Takahashi, *Constraints on mixed dark matter from anomalous strong lens systems*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Apr., 2016) , [1604.01489].

[46] H. S. Mahdi, P. J. Elahi, G. F. Lewis and C. Power, *Matter in the beam: Weak lensing, substructures and the temperature of dark matter*, *ArXiv e-prints* (May, 2016) , [1605.08428].

[47] R. Barkana and A. Loeb, *In the beginning: the first sources of light and the reionization of the universe*, Phys. Rep. **349** (July, 2001) 125–238, [astro-ph/0010468].

[48] X. Fan, C. L. Carilli and B. Keating, *Observational Constraints on Cosmic Reionization*, ARA&A **44** (Sept., 2006) 415–462, [astro-ph/0602375].

[49] A. Natarajan and N. Yoshida, *The Dark Ages of the Universe and hydrogen reionization*, *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* **2014** (June, 2014) 06B112, [1404.7146].

[50] A. Ferrara and S. Pandolfi, *Reionization of the Intergalactic Medium*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Sept., 2014) , [1409.4946].

[51] M. McQuinn, *The Evolution of the Intergalactic Medium*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Nov., 2015) , [1512.00086].

[52] A. Mesinger, ed., *Understanding the Epoch of Cosmic Reionization*, vol. 423 of *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, 2016. 10.1007/978-3-319-21957-8.

[53] P. Dayal, A. Mesinger and F. Pacucci, *Early Galaxy Formation in Warm Dark Matter Cosmologies*, ApJ **806** (June, 2015) 67, [1408.1102].

[54] Z. Haiman, T. Abel and P. Madau, *Photon Consumption in Minihalos during Cosmological Reionization*, ApJ **551** (Apr., 2001) 599–607, [astro-ph/0009125].

[55] R. Barkana and A. Loeb, *Effective Screening Due to Minihalos during the Epoch of Reionization*, ApJ **578** (Oct., 2002) 1–11, [astro-ph/0204139].

[56] P. R. Shapiro, I. T. Iliev and A. C. Raga, *Photoevaporation of cosmological minihaloes during reionization*, MNRAS **348** (Mar., 2004) 753–782, [astro-ph/0307266].

[57] I. T. Iliev, P. R. Shapiro and A. C. Raga, *Minihalo photoevaporation during cosmic reionization: evaporation times and photon consumption rates*, MNRAS **361** (Aug., 2005) 405–414, [astro-ph/0408408].

[58] B. Ciardi, E. Scannapieco, F. Stoehr, A. Ferrara, I. T. Iliev and P. R. Shapiro, *The effect of minihaloes on cosmic reionization*, MNRAS **366** (Feb., 2006) 689–696, [astro-ph/0511623].

[59] M. McQuinn, A. Lidz, O. Zahn, S. Dutta, L. Hernquist and M. Zaldarriaga, *The morphology of HII regions during reionization*, MNRAS **377** (May, 2007) 1043–1063, [astro-ph/0610094].

[60] M. A. Alvarez and T. Abel, *The Effect of Absorption Systems on Cosmic Reionization*, ApJ **747** (Mar., 2012) 126, [1003.6132].

[61] H. Park, P. R. Shapiro, J.-h. Choi, N. Yoshida, S. Hirano and K. Ahn, *The Hydrodynamic Feedback of Cosmic Reionization on Small-Scale Structures and Its Impact on Photon Consumption during the Epoch of Reionization*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Feb., 2016) , [1602.06472].

[62] B. Yue and X. Chen, *Reionization in the Warm Dark Matter Model*, ApJ **747** (Mar., 2012) 127, [1201.3686].

[63] R. Barkana, Z. Haiman and J. P. Ostriker, *Constraints on Warm Dark Matter from Cosmological Reionization*, ApJ **558** (Sept., 2001) 482–496, [astro-ph/0102304].

[64] R. S. Somerville, J. S. Bullock and M. Livio, *The Epoch of Reionization in Models with Reduced Small-Scale Power*, ApJ **593** (Aug., 2003) 616–621, [astro-ph/0303481].

[65] N. Yoshida, A. Sokasian, L. Hernquist and V. Springel, *Early Structure Formation and Reionization in a Warm Dark Matter Cosmology*, ApJ **591** (July, 2003) L1–L4, [arXiv:astro-ph/0303622].

[66] C. Schultz, J. Oñorbe, K. N. Abazajian and J. S. Bullock, *The high-z universe confronts warm dark matter: Galaxy counts, reionization and the nature of dark matter*, MNRAS **442** (Aug., 2014) 1597–1609, [1401.3769].

[67] P. Dayal, T. R. Choudhury, V. Bromm and F. Pacucci, *Reionizing the Universe in Warm Dark Matter cosmologies*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan., 2015) , [1501.02823].

[68] S. R. Furlanetto, M. Zaldarriaga and L. Hernquist, *The Growth of H II Regions During Reionization*, ApJ **613** (Sept., 2004) 1–15, [astro-ph/0403697].

[69] A. K. Inoue, I. Iwata and J.-M. Deharveng, *The escape fraction of ionizing photons from galaxies at z = 0-6*, MNRAS **371** (Sept., 2006) L1–L5, [astro-ph/0605526].

[70] A. O. Razoumov and J. Sommer-Larsen, *Escape of Ionizing Radiation from Star-forming Regions in Young Galaxies*, ApJ **651** (Nov., 2006) L89–L92, [astro-ph/0609545].

[71] A. L. Faisst, *Revisiting the LyC Escape Fraction Crisis: Predictions for z>6 from Locals*, *ArXiv e-prints* (May, 2016) , [1605.06507].

[72] S. Mitra, T. R. Choudhury and A. Ferrara, *Cosmic reionization after Planck*, MNRAS **454** (Nov., 2015) L76–L80, [1505.05507].

[73] I. T. Iliev, E. Scannapieco and P. R. Shapiro, *The Impact of Small-Scale Structure on Cosmological Ionization Fronts and Reionization*, ApJ **624** (May, 2005) 491–504, [astro-ph/0411035].

[74] C. Leitherer, D. Schaerer, J. D. Goldader, R. M. G. Delgado, C. Robert, D. F. Kune et al., *Starburst99: Synthesis Models for Galaxies with Active Star Formation*, ApJS **123** (July, 1999) 3–40, [astro-ph/9902334].

[75] G. D. Becker, J. S. Bolton and A. Lidz, *Reionisation and High-Redshift Galaxies: The View from Quasar Absorption Lines*, PASA **32** (Dec., 2015) e045, [1510.03368].

[76] J. S. B. Wyithe, A. M. Hopkins, M. D. Kistler, H. Yüksel and J. F. Beacom, *Determining the escape fraction of ionizing photons during reionization with the GRB-derived star formation rate*, MNRAS **401** (Feb., 2010) 2561–2571, [0908.0193].

[77] P. S. Behroozi, R. H. Wechsler and C. Conroy, *The Average Star Formation Histories of Galaxies in Dark Matter Halos from z = 0-8*, ApJ **770** (June, 2013) 57, [1207.6105].

[78] J. H. Wise, V. G. Demchenko, M. T. Halicek, M. L. Norman, M. J. Turk, T. Abel et al., *The birth of a galaxy - III. Propelling reionization with the faintest galaxies*, MNRAS **442** (Aug., 2014) 2560–2579, [1403.6123].

[79] B. Siana, A. E. Shapley, K. R. Kulas, D. B. Nestor, C. C. Steidel, H. I. Teplitz et al., *A Deep Hubble Space Telescope and Keck Search for Definitive Identification of Lyman Continuum Emitters at z˜3.1*, ApJ **804** (May, 2015) 17, [1502.06978].

[80] A. Grazian, E. Giallongo, R. Gerbasi, F. Fiore, A. Fontana, O. Le Fèvre et al., *The Lyman continuum escape fraction of galaxies at z = 3.3 in the VUDS-LBC/COSMOS field*, A&A **585** (Jan., 2016) A48, [1509.01101].

[81] Y. I. Izotov, I. Orlitová, D. Schaerer, T. X. Thuan, A. Verhamme, N. G. Guseva et al., *Eight per cent leakage of Lyman continuum photons from a compact, star-forming dwarf galaxy*, Nature **529** (Jan., 2016) 178–180.

[82] S. Borthakur, T. M. Heckman, C. Leitherer and R. A. Overzier, *A local clue to the reionization of the universe*, *Science* **346** (Oct., 2014) 216–219, [1410.3511].

[83] X. Ma, P. F. Hopkins, D. Kasen, E. Quataert, C.-A. Faucher-Giguere, D. Keres et al., *Binary Stars Can Provide the "Missing Photons" Needed for Reionization*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan., 2016) , [1601.07559].

[84] W. H. Press and P. Schechter, *Formation of Galaxies and Clusters of Galaxies by Self-Similar Gravitational Condensation*, ApJ **187** (Feb., 1974) 425–438.

[85] J. R. Bond, S. Cole, G. Efstathiou and N. Kaiser, *Excursion set mass functions for hierarchical Gaussian fluctuations*, ApJ **379** (Oct., 1991) 440–460.

[86] R. G. Bower, *The evolution of groups of galaxies in the Press-Schechter formalism*, MNRAS **248** (Jan., 1991) 332–352.

[87] C. Lacey and S. Cole, *Merger rates in hierarchical models of galaxy formation*, MNRAS **262** (June, 1993) 627–649.

[88] Planck Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade, N. Aghanim, M. Arnaud, M. Ashdown, J. Aumont et al., *Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Feb., 2015) , [1502.01589].

[89] V. R. Eke, S. Cole and C. S. Frenk, *Cluster evolution as a diagnostic for Omega*, MNRAS **282** (Sept., 1996) , [astro-ph/9601088].

[90] D. J. Heath, *The growth of density perturbations in zero pressure Friedmann-Lemaitre universes*, MNRAS **179** (May, 1977) 351–358.

[91] D. J. Eisenstein, *An Analytic Expression for the Growth Function in a Flat Universe with a Cosmological Constant*, *ArXiv Astrophysics e-prints* (Sept., 1997) , [astro-ph/9709054].

[92] J. Ghiglieri and M. Laine, *Improved determination of sterile neutrino dark matter spectrum*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **11** (Nov., 2015) 171, [1506.06752].

[93] E. Bertschinger, *Effects of cold dark matter decoupling and pair annihilation on cosmological perturbations*, Phys. Rev. D **74** (Sept., 2006) 063509, [astro-ph/0607319].

[94] A. J. Benson, A. Farahi, S. Cole, L. A. Moustakas, A. Jenkins, M. Lovell et al., *Dark matter halo merger histories beyond cold dark matter - I. Methods and application to warm dark matter*, MNRAS **428** (Jan., 2013) 1774–1789, [1209.3018].

[95] A. Schneider, R. E. Smith and D. Reed, *Halo mass function and the free streaming scale*, MNRAS **433** (Aug., 2013) 1573–1587, [1303.0839].

[96] Z. Haiman, M. J. Rees and A. Loeb, *Destruction of Molecular Hydrogen during Cosmological Reionization*, ApJ **476** (Feb., 1997) 458–463, [astro-ph/9608130].

[97] A. Loeb, *How Did the First Stars and Galaxies Form?* 2010.

[98] K. Finlator, S. P. Oh, F. Özel and R. Davé, *Gas clumping in self-consistent reionization models*, MNRAS **427** (Dec., 2012) 2464–2479.

[99] R. K. Sheth, *An excursion set model for the distribution of dark matter and dark matter haloes*, MNRAS **300** (Nov., 1998) 1057–1070, [astro-ph/9805319].

[100] S. R. Furlanetto and S. P. Oh, *Reionization through the lens of percolation theory*, MNRAS **457** (Apr., 2016) 1813–1827, [1511.01521].

[101] M. Kuhlen and C.-A. Faucher-Giguère, *Concordance models of reionization: implications for faint galaxies and escape fraction evolution*, MNRAS **423** (June, 2012) 862–876, [1201.0757].

[102] B. E. Robertson, S. R. Furlanetto, E. Schneider, S. Charlot, R. S. Ellis, D. P. Stark et al., *New Constraints on Cosmic Reionization from the 2012 Hubble Ultra Deep Field Campaign*, ApJ **768** (May, 2013) 71, [1301.1228].

[103] B. E. Robertson, R. S. Ellis, S. R. Furlanetto and J. S. Dunlop, *Cosmic Reionization and Early Star-forming Galaxies: A Joint Analysis of New Constraints from Planck and the Hubble Space Telescope*, ApJ **802** (Apr., 2015) L19, [1502.02024].

[104] X. Fan, M. A. Strauss, R. H. Becker, R. L. White, J. E. Gunn, G. R. Knapp et al., *Constraining the Evolution of the Ionizing Background and the Epoch of Reionization with z˜6 Quasars. II. A Sample of 19 Quasars*, AJ **132** (July, 2006) 117–136, [arXiv:astro-ph/0512082].

[105] I. D. McGreer, A. Mesinger and V. D'Odorico, *Model-independent evidence in favour of an end to reionization by z∼6*, MNRAS **447** (Feb., 2015) 499–505, [1411.5375].

[106] J. S. Bolton, M. G. Haehnelt, S. J. Warren, P. C. Hewett, D. J. Mortlock, B. P. Venemans et al., *How neutral is the intergalactic medium surrounding the redshift z = 7.085 quasar ULAS J1120+0641?*, MNRAS **416** (Sept., 2011) L70–L74, [1106.6089].

[107] J. Schroeder, A. Mesinger and Z. Haiman, *Evidence of Gunn-Peterson damping wings in high-z quasar spectra: strengthening the case for incomplete reionization at z ∼ 6-7*, MNRAS **428** (Feb., 2013) 3058–3071, [1204.2838].

[108] S. E. I. Bosman and G. D. Becker, *Re-examining the case for neutral gas near the redshift 7 quasar ULAS J1120+0641*, MNRAS **452** (Sept., 2015) 1105–1111, [1505.06880].

[109] R. Chornock, E. Berger, D. B. Fox, R. Lunnan, M. R. Drout, W.-f. Fong et al., *GRB 130606A as a Probe of the Intergalactic Medium and the Interstellar Medium in a Star-forming Galaxy in the First Gyr after the Big Bang*, ApJ **774** (Sept., 2013) 26, [1306.3949].

[110] K. Ota, M. Iye, N. Kashikawa, K. Shimasaku, M. Kobayashi, T. Totani et al., *Reionization and Galaxy Evolution Probed by z = 7 Lyα Emitters*, ApJ **677** (Apr., 2008) 12–26, [0707.1561].

[111] M. Ouchi, K. Shimasaku, H. Furusawa, T. Saito, M. Yoshida, M. Akiyama et al., *Statistics of 207 Lyα Emitters at a Redshift Near 7: Constraints on Reionization and Galaxy Formation Models*, ApJ **723** (Nov., 2010) 869–894, [1007.2961].

[112] Planck Collaboration, R. Adam, N. Aghanim, M. Ashdown, J. Aumont, C. Baccigalupi et al., *Planck 2016 intermediate results. XLVII. Planck constraints on reionization history*, *ArXiv e-prints* (May, 2016) , [1605.03507].

[113] Planck Collaboration, N. Aghanim, M. Ashdown, J. Aumont, C. Baccigalupi, M. Ballardini et al., *Planck intermediate results. XLVI. Reduction of large-scale systematic effects in HFI polarization maps and estimation of the reionization optical depth*, *ArXiv e-prints* (May, 2016) , [1605.02985].

[114] G. Hinshaw, D. Larson, E. Komatsu, D. N. Spergel, C. L. Bennett, J. Dunkley et al., *Nine-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Parameter Results*, ApJS **208** (Oct., 2013) 19, [1212.5226].

[115] P. Madau and F. Haardt, *Cosmic Reionization after Planck: Could Quasars Do It All?*, ApJ **813** (Nov., 2015) L8, [1507.07678].

[116] K. L. Dixon, I. T. Iliev, G. Mellema, K. Ahn and P. R. Shapiro, *The large-scale observational signatures of low-mass galaxies during reionization*, MNRAS **456** (Mar., 2016) 3011–3029, [1512.03836].

[117] H. Shukla, G. Mellema, I. T. Iliev and P. R. Shapiro, *The effects of Lyman-limit systems on the evolution and observability of the epoch of reionization*, MNRAS **458** (May, 2016) 135–150, [1602.01144].

[118] S. Bose, C. S. Frenk, H. Jun, C. G. Lacey and M. R. Lovell, *Reionisation in sterile neutrino cosmologies*, *ArXiv e-prints* (May, 2016) , [1605.03179].

[119] J. H. Wise, T. Abel, M. J. Turk, M. L. Norman and B. D. Smith, *The birth of a galaxy - II. The role of radiation pressure*, MNRAS **427** (Nov., 2012) 311–326, [1206.1043].

[120] J. L. Johnson, C. Dalla Vecchia and S. Khochfar, *The First Billion Years project: the impact of stellar radiation on the co-evolution of Populations II and III*, MNRAS **428** (Jan., 2013) 1857–1872, [1206.5824].

[121] J.-P. Paardekooper, S. Khochfar and C. Dalla Vecchia, *The First Billion Years project: proto-galaxies reionizing the Universe*, MNRAS **429** (Feb., 2013) L94–L98, [1211.1670].

[122] H. Yajima and S. Khochfar, *Can the 21-cm signal probe Population III and II star formation?*, MNRAS **448** (Mar., 2015) 654–665, [1405.7385].

[123] E. Giallongo, A. Grazian, F. Fiore, A. Fontana, L. Pentericci, E. Vanzella et al., *Faint AGNs at $z \geq 4$ in the CANDELS GOODS-S field: looking for contributors to the reionization of the Universe*, A&A **578** (June, 2015) A83, [1502.02562].

[124] K. Finlator, B. D. Oppenheimer, R. Davé, E. Zackrisson, R. Thompson and S. Huang, *The soft, fluctuating UVB at $z \sim 6$ as traced by C IV, Si IV, and C II*, MNRAS **459** (July, 2016) 2299–2310.

[125] M. McQuinn, *Constraints on X-ray emissions from the reionization era*, MNRAS **426** (Oct., 2012) 1349–1360, [1206.1335].

[126] A. D. Dolgov and S. H. Hansen, *Massive sterile neutrinos as warm dark matter*, *Astroparticle Physics* **16** (Jan., 2002) 339–344, [hep-ph/0009083].

[127] K. Abazajian, G. M. Fuller and W. H. Tucker, *Direct Detection of Warm Dark Matter in the X-Ray*, ApJ **562** (Dec., 2001) 593–604, [astro-ph/0106002].

[128] S. H. Hansen and Z. Haiman, *Do We Need Stars to Reionize the Universe at High Redshifts?*

Early Reionization by Decaying Heavy Sterile Neutrinos, ApJ **600** (Jan., 2004) 26–31, [astro-ph/0305126].

[129] E. Pierpaoli, *Decaying Particles and the Reionization History of the Universe*, *Physical Review Letters* **92** (Jan., 2004) 031301, [astro-ph/0310375].

[130] H. Liu, T. R. Slatyer and J. Zavala, *The Darkest Hour Before Dawn: Contributions to Cosmic Reionisation from Dark Matter Annihilation and Decay*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Apr., 2016) , [1604.02457].

[131] I. M. Oldengott, D. Boriero and D. J. Schwarz, *Reionization and dark matter decay*, *ArXiv e-prints* (May, 2016) , [1605.03928].

[132] J. A. Adams, S. Sarkar and D. W. Sciama, *Cosmic microwave background anisotropy in the decaying neutrino cosmology*, MNRAS **301** (Nov., 1998) 210–214, [astro-ph/9805108].

[133] X. Chen and M. Kamionkowski, *Particle decays during the cosmic dark ages*, Phys. Rev. D **70** (Aug., 2004) 043502–+, [arXiv:astro-ph/0310473].

[134] N. Padmanabhan and D. P. Finkbeiner, *Detecting dark matter annihilation with CMB polarization: Signatures and experimental prospects*, Phys. Rev. D **72** (July, 2005) 023508, [astro-ph/0503486].

[135] P. L. Biermann and A. Kusenko, *Relic keV Sterile Neutrinos and Reionization*, *Physical Review Letters* **96** (Mar., 2006) 091301, [astro-ph/0601004].

[136] E. Ripamonti, M. Mapelli and A. Ferrara, *The impact of dark matter decays and annihilations on the formation of the first structures*, MNRAS **375** (Mar., 2007) 1399–1408, [astro-ph/0606483].

[137] M. Sitwell, A. Mesinger, Y.-Z. Ma and K. Sigurdson, *The imprint of warm dark matter on the cosmological 21-cm signal*, MNRAS **438** (Mar., 2014) 2664–2671, [1310.0029].

[138] S. R. Furlanetto, *The 21-cm Line as a Probe of Reionization*, in *Astrophysics and Space Science Library* (A. Mesinger, ed.), vol. 423 of *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, p. 247, 2016. 1511.01131. DOI.

[139] A. Liu, J. R. Pritchard, R. Allison, A. R. Parsons, U. Seljak and B. D. Sherwin, *Eliminating the optical depth nuisance from the CMB with 21 cm cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D **93** (Feb., 2016) 043013, [1509.08463].

[140] A. Fialkov and A. Loeb, *Precise Measurement of the Reionization Optical Depth from The Global 21-cm Signal Accounting for Cosmic Heating*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan., 2016) , [1601.03058].

[141] H. Park, P. R. Shapiro, E. Komatsu, I. T. Iliev, K. Ahn and G. Mellema, *The Kinetic Sunyaev-Zel'dovich Effect as a Probe of the Physics of Cosmic Reionization: The Effect of Self-regulated Reionization*, ApJ **769** (June, 2013) 93, [1301.3607].

[142] E. Calabrese, R. Hložek, N. Battaglia, J. R. Bond, F. de Bernardis, M. J. Devlin et al., *Precision epoch of reionization studies with next-generation CMB experiments*, JCAP **8** (Aug., 2014) 010, [1406.4794].

[143] M. A. Alvarez, *The Kinetic Sunyaev-Zel'dovich Effect from Reionization: Simulated Full Sky Maps at Arcminute Resolution*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Nov., 2015) , [1511.02846].

[144] E. M. George, C. L. Reichardt, K. A. Aird, B. A. Benson, L. E. Bleem, J. E. Carlstrom et al., *A Measurement of Secondary Cosmic Microwave Background Anisotropies from the 2500 Square-degree SPT-SZ Survey*, ApJ **799** (Feb., 2015) 177, [1408.3161].

[145] A. Paranjape and T. R. Choudhury, *An improved model of H II bubbles during the epoch of reionization*, MNRAS **442** (Aug., 2014) 1470–1482, [1401.7994].

[146] Y. Xu, B. Yue, M. Su, Z. Fan and X. Chen, *An Analytical Model of the Large Neutral Regions during the Late Stage of Reionization*, ApJ **781** (Feb., 2014) 97, [1312.4960].

[147] S. Cole, C. G. Lacey, C. M. Baugh and C. S. Frenk, *Hierarchical galaxy formation*, MNRAS **319** (Nov., 2000) 168–204, [astro-ph/0007281].

[148] C. G. Lacey, C. M. Baugh, C. S. Frenk, A. J. Benson, R. G. Bower, S. Cole et al., *A unified multi-wavelength model of galaxy formation*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Sept., 2015) , [1509.08473].

[149] V. Gonzalez-Perez, C. G. Lacey, C. M. Baugh, C. D. P. Lagos, J. Helly, D. J. R. Campbell et al., *How sensitive are predicted galaxy luminosities to the choice of stellar population synthesis model?*, MNRAS **439** (Mar., 2014) 264–283, [1309.7057].

[150] R. Kennedy, C. Frenk, S. Cole and A. Benson, *Constraining the warm dark matter particle mass with Milky Way satellites*, MNRAS **442** (Aug., 2014) 2487–2495, [1310.7739].

[151] A. A. Kaurov, *On improving analytical models of cosmic reionization for matching numerical simulation*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Dec., 2015) , [1512.01312].

[152] A. Sarkar, R. Mondal, S. Das, S. K. Sethi, S. Bharadwaj and D. J. E. Marsh, *The effects of the small-scale DM power on the cosmological neutral hydrogen (\HI) distribution at high redshifts*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Dec., 2015) , [1512.03325].

[153] B. Greig and A. Mesinger, *The Global History of Reionisation*, *ArXiv e-prints* (May, 2016) , [1605.05374].

[154] K. Finlator, R. Thompson, S. Huang, R. Davé, E. Zackrisson and B. D. Oppenheimer, *The reionization of carbon*, MNRAS **447** (Mar., 2015) 2526–2539, [1412.4810].

[155] P. Ocvirk, N. Gillet, P. R. Shapiro, D. Aubert, I. T. Iliev, R. Teyssier et al., *Cosmic Dawn (CoDa): the First Radiation-Hydrodynamics Simulation of Reionization and Galaxy Formation in the Local Universe*, *ArXiv e-prints* (Oct., 2015) , [1511.00011].